REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF STEEVE TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP, PDS2015-TPM-21225

January 18, 2018

			E – Does the proposed project conform to Ordinance findings?	the
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠	
Discussion:				
boundaries of the of any off-site Permit/Coastal	ne Multiple Sp improvemen Sage Scrub	pecies Conso ts do not o Ordinance.	te improvements are located outside of ervation Program, the project site and location contain habitats subject to the Habitat Louisier Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Louisier is not required.	ons oss
II. MSCP/BMO Program and Bi			ct conform to the Multiple Species Conservat nce?	tior
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
located outside	of the bou formance wit	ndaries of the Multi	provements related to the proposed project the Multiple Species Conservation Progra ple Species Conservation Program and uired.	am
III. GROUNDW the San Diego (es the project comply with the requirements nance?	S O
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠	
Discussion:				

The project will obtain its water supply from the City of Escondido Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use

any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined in a Biological Resources Letter Report dated July 5, 2016 by REC Consultants. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by a County of San Diego staff archaeologist, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(g) of the RPO.

of the RPO.				
	ershed Prote		- Does the project comply water Management and I	-
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion:				
The project Storr be complete and			nent Plan has been reviewe PO.	ed and is found to
			ct comply with the County of County of San Diego Noise	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	

Discussion:

The project is a minor subdivision for four residential lots located in the North County Metro Community Plan area. The site is located east of Bear Valley Parkway. The project is subject to the County Noise Element which requires an exterior noise level threshold of 60 dBA CNEL for single family residences. Based on the noise analysis, the first floor 60 dBA CNEL would extend approximately 240 feet from Bear Valley Parkway. At this distance, ground level exterior noise sensitive land uses for all four lots would conform to the County Noise Element requirements.

Interior sound level requirements for single family residences must conform to the interior 45 CNEL threshold. The second story noise contours were also assessed and demonstrated that the future building facades would exceed the 60 dBA CNEL threshold. This would require each home to conduct an interior noise assessment prior to issuance of any building permits. A Noise Restriction Easement dedication to the Parcel Map would be required to ensure this condition is satisfied and any improvements to door and windows are implemented to conform to the interior Noise Element policy.

The project is also subject to temporary construction noise requirements. The County Noise Ordinance, Section 36.408 allows an eight-hour average 75 dBA sound level limit at the boundary of an occupied structure for the operations of construction equipment. According to the project applicant, a total of two dozers, a loader/tractor, a water truck and an excavator will be required during grading activities to complete the proposed grading operations. The equipment would be spread out over the site working in different areas from 1 to 4 weeks and then relocating to a different portion of the site as needed. Although existing residences are located to the north and west, no materials processing and no blasting would occur on-site. Based on this information provided by the applicant, temporary construction noise levels would not exceed the 75 dBA eight-hour average sound level requirement.